## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/018,510	SUGAYA, SYUNJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PINKY BOVEJA	3622	

	PINKY BOVEJA	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	the date of Classic being	90 ( 1 ( 1 1	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	4. One official ad Nation of Name On		DTOL OOA)
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>	·	,	,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/NAMRATA BOVEJA/	/Yehdega Retta/		
Examiner, Art Unit 3622	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3622	

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

The Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 35, and 53 are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Servan does not teach that the download data transfer rate or speed is being adjusted. Additionally, while Servan teaches that an advertising page is displayed for a minimum time period or until a new page is sufficiently downloaded, this is not the same as adjusting the download transfer speed of the data.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point the Applicant to col. 3 lines 30-67 and col. 4 lines 1-13, since Servan teaches that an advertising page is displayed until a new page is sufficiently downloaded. So, Servan is adjusting the speed at which the new page is transferred to be displayed to the user until it is fully downloaded. The new page will not be displayed as it is downloaded from the server, but the new page will be displayed and downloaded to be shown to the user only after it is fully downloaded from the server. Therefore, the download transfer speed of the new page is being adjusted as it is not showed as it is being downloaded, but rather after it is fully downloaded.